Identifying and Mitigating Risks in ERP Customizations: Common Pitfalls and Best Practices

Sanjay Vijay Mhaskey
eOne Infotech LLC
Tampa
FL, USA

ABSTRACT
Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems are essential for organizations aiming to streamline operations and improve business processes. However, the need for customization often arises to address specific organizational requirements that standard ERP functionalities fail to meet. While such customizations can enhance system capabilities and provide a competitive edge, they also introduce substantial risks related to project timelines, budget overruns, and the long-term sustainability of the ERP system. This paper explores the complex landscape of ERP customizations, detailing the key risks and associated common pitfalls. By distinguishing between customization and configuration, we clarify the types of modifications typically undertaken by organizations. Additionally, we analyze the primary drivers behind these customizations and offer a comprehensive framework of best practices for effectively managing associated risks. Through a review of industry case studies and research insights, this paper aims to equip organizations with the knowledge and tools necessary to make informed decisions, ultimately balancing immediate operational needs with strategic long-term technology considerations.

Keywords
Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP), Customization, Localization, Personalization

1. INTRODUCTION
Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems involve substantial investments for businesses to streamline and enhance their operations. Although ERP products are designed to map various business processes via setups and configurations, organizations still find modifying the standard fundamental system code or structure compelling.

Organizations frequently justify the need for customization due to various factors, including distinct business processes, the pursuit of competitive advantages, compliance with industry-specific regulations, adherence to country-specific laws, or mismatches between standard software capabilities and actual operational requirements. Nevertheless, although customizations can provide specialized functionality, they pose considerable risks to implementation timelines, budgets, and the system's long-term sustainability.

This paper explores the intricate landscape of ERP customization, underscoring its advantages and the considerable risks involved. By identifying prevalent challenges and proposing best practices for effective risk management, we seek to empower organizations with a comprehensive framework that enables them to make informed customization decisions, harmonizing immediate operational requirements with the system's long-term viability.

2. UNDERSTANDING ERP CUSTOMIZATIONS

2.1 Defining Customization vs. Configuration
Before addressing risks, it is essential to distinguish between customization and configuration:

Configuration: In the realm of ERP systems, configuration means adjusting the settings within the software to ensure it meets an organization's specific needs and processes. This process involves choosing different options for various functions and processes without altering the software's core code. Configuration is generally regarded as a way to make the ERP system work for the unique conditions of an organization, thus avoiding any modifications to the program, which vendors usually advise against. [1]

Customization: Customization involves enhancing the standard functionalities of an ERP system by incorporating additional reports, integrations, and applications. This process allows businesses to modify the ERP system to better align with their unique requirements that the essential features do not fulfill [2].

Common types of customizations include:

Business Process Customization: Adjusting current business processes or developing new ones to fit specific business needs. This typically requires altering workflows or incorporating additional steps into existing processes. Data Model Extensions: Expanding the data model to add new fields or tables that are essential for particular business functions [2].

Data Model Extensions: Extending the data model to include additional fields or tables necessary for specific business operations [2].

Workflow Customization: This type allows companies to tailor their ERP systems to their internal processes and decision-making workflows. It helps in automating tasks and approvals, thereby enhancing efficiency and productivity. [3]

User Interface (UI) Customization: This focuses on improving the user experience by changing the layout, design, and navigation of the ERP system. It is beneficial for organizations with varying user requirements, as it promotes higher user engagement and satisfaction [4].

Integration with Third-Party Systems: This customization connects the ERP system with external tools like eCommerce platforms, CRM software, or supply chain management systems, ensuring consistent data and real-time visibility[3].

2.2 Drivers of Customization
Organizations typically pursue customizations for several reasons:

Alignment with Distinct Business Practices: Organizations frequently modify ERP systems to match their specific business practices instead of adapting these practices to fit the standard software. This is essential when the ERP system cannot accommodate crucial business processes for the organization. [5].

Integration with Current Systems: Companies frequently need ERP systems to work seamlessly with other software, like CRM applications or e-commerce solutions. Tailored integrations facilitate smooth communication with external systems, improving data transfer and minimizing manual efforts [4] .

Enhanced Functionality and User Satisfaction: There is a drive for customizations to enhance functionality, boost user experience, and improve satisfaction levels. This can lead to greater acceptance among users and better overall organizational performance [6].

Resistance to Change: A culture that resists organizational change can lead to customization efforts. Users often prefer to keep familiar routines and features from previous systems, prompting modifications to accommodate these preferences [5].

Competitive Edge: Customizations can provide a competitive edge by enabling processes that are unique to the organization, thereby differentiating it from competitors. This is particularly relevant in industries where specialized processes lead to better products or services [2] .

Industry-Specific Compliance:Companies operating in regulated industries may need customizations to ensure compliance with industry-specific regulations. This is particularly relevant for sectors like finance or healthcare, where legal adherence is critical [4] .

2.3 Balancing Customization Needs with Standardization

ERP systems are valuable because they integrate industry best practices and standardized processes. However, when organizations excessively customize these systems, they risk losing this vital benefit. This results in a paradox where companies invest in systems built on best practices, only to modify them to align with existing processes that may not be ideal.

The dilemma between maintaining standardization and pursuing customization presents a significant challenge that necessitates in-depth business analysis, rather than depending solely on default technical solutions. It is vital to address this issue to leverage ERP systems' potential [7] fully.

3. RISK IDENTIFICATION AND ANALYSIS

3.1 Implementation Risks

Customizations introduce significant risks during the implementation phase. The risks linked to customizing ERP systems include higher expenses, challenges in maintenance, and potential barriers to realizing the benefits of integration. Customizations typically raise costs, as each upgrade of the ERP system requires re-coding, which can be time-consuming and expensive. Moreover, extensive customizations can increase maintenance workloads, often requiring the collaboration of multiple developers, which further drives up costs and complicates maintenance. Additionally, such customizations can threaten the success of the implementation by hindering the system's ability to integrate smoothly [8].

Research consistently shows that heavily customized ERP implementations are significantly more likely to exceed budgets and timelines. A 2023 study by the ERP Research Group found that projects with more than 20% customization were 64% more likely to experience significant delays than those with minimal customization.

3.2 Operational Risks

Once implemented, customized systems present ongoing operational challenges:

System stability: While tailored for specific needs, custom code can introduce bugs and performance issues, leading to slow response times and system crashes. These challenges can frustrate users and reduce productivity significantly if the code diverges from standard practices, complicating troubleshooting efforts. [9]

User adoption: Overly customized interfaces can complicate training and reduce user acceptance.

Support complexity: Internal teams or consultants must maintain knowledge of custom features. This can complicate support and increase response times for issues. Third-party support may also be limited, as vendors often only assist with standard functionality [9].

Troubleshooting difficulties: Problems in customized ERP areas are more complex to diagnose and resolve.

Vendor support limitations: Most vendors provide limited or no support for customized components.

Knowledge retention: Staff turnover can result in lost understanding of custom functionality. This is particularly relevant for organizations that rely on internal teams for support and maintenance, as employees with unique knowledge of custom features may leave the company. This can lead to significant challenges in managing and troubleshooting the ERP system [10].

3.3 Strategic Risks

Perhaps most critically, customizations create long-term strategic technology risks:

Upgrade complications: .Upgrades become more difficult since the code must often be rewritten to support newer software versions. This often leads organizations to defer upgrades, sometimes indefinitely, leaving them on outdated versions with known security vulnerabilities and lacking new features. [11]

Technical debt: Customizations can complicate a software's architecture, making future updates, patches, and integrations with third-party systems challenging. This added complexity forces businesses to repeatedly modify custom code or manually test new features, increasing maintenance difficulties and costs. Furthermore, many ERP vendors are reluctant to provide full support for highly customized systems, which can lead to more downtime, decreased productivity, and higher ongoing maintenance costs [12].

Innovation barriers: Organizations may find implementing new vendor features that conflict with their customizations challenging. This can restrict the organization's capacity to utilize new functions or improvements offered by the vendor, potentially diminishing the system's overall value and efficiency [13].

3.4 Risk Quantification

Organizations often underestimate the total cost of customization. A comprehensive assessment should include:

Initial development costs: Programming, testing, and documentation. This includes all expenses incurred during the initial implementation phase of the customization [14].

Operational costs: Ongoing maintenance and specialized support requirements because updates and patches from the ERP vendor may not be directly applicable, necessitating additional work to integrate these updates with the customizations [5].

Upgrade costs: Upgrade costs for highly customized ERP systems can be significant. Each customization may require reevaluation and reimplementation during upgrades, as modifications to the system code can be overwritten, leading to additional work to integrate them with the new version [5].

Research indicates that the lifetime cost of a significant customization typically ranges from 2 to 5 times its initial development cost, with this multiplier increasing as the system ages.

4. COMMON CUSTOMIZATION PITFALLS

Analysis of ERP implementation case studies reveals recurring patterns that lead to problematic customizations:

4.1 Process Analysis Shortcomings

Insufficient business process analysis: Inadequate analysis of business processes can lead to unnecessary customizations in ERP systems. When organizations fail to examine their existing processes thoroughly, they may struggle to align the functionality of the ERP system with their specific requirements. This misalignment, often called "misfit," can result in expensive customization efforts or may force the organization to alter its processes to conform to the ERP system, which is not always ideal. [14]

"As-is" thinking: Replicating existing processes without evaluating their effectiveness. This approach can lead to inefficiencies in the new system, as it fails to take advantage of the opportunity to optimize and improve processes during the transition [14].

Requirements gathering failures: Collecting "wants" rather than validated business needs. Stakeholders often request specific features they are familiar with from legacy systems rather than articulating underlying needs that must be addressed [15]. This can lead to unnecessary customizations that inflate costs and complicate the system.

Stakeholder imbalance: Allowing specific departments to drive customizations without enterprise-wide consideration. Departments that are vocal about their needs may influence the customization process, even if these needs do not align with the organization's overall requirements. [16]

4.2 Governance Failures

Weak approval processes: Lack of rigorous evaluation criteria for customization requests. Organizations often fail to implement strict criteria for approving customization requests [17].

Inadequate oversight: Insufficient executive involvement in customization decisions. Senior management often does not participate in evaluating or approving customization requests, leading to decisions that do not align with the organization's overall strategy [17].

Poor documentation: Failure to document customization rationale and specifications. When organizations do not maintain comprehensive records of the reasons for specific customizations and their intended functionality, they complicate future maintenance and upgrades [18].

4.3 Technical Approach Issues

Architectural mistakes: ERP Customizations that violate system architecture principles. When organizations implement modifications that conflict with the fundamental design of the ERP system, they create significant technical debt and complicate future updates and maintenance.

Over-engineering: Creating complex solutions for simple requirements. Organizations often implement unnecessarily complicated customizations to address straightforward needs, wasting resources and increasing maintenance challenges [19].

Integration complexities: Underestimating the complexity of connecting with other systems. When organizations fail to accurately assess the difficulty of integrating their ERP systems with third-party applications, they often implement overly complicated or ineffective solutions, wasting resources and increasing maintenance challenges [20].

4.4 Change Management Deficiencies

Resistance accommodation: Organizations often implement customizations to avoid addressing user resistance to changes in business processes [21].

Training gaps: Insufficient user preparation for customized functionality. When organizations do not provide adequate training for users on customized features, they complicate adopting the new system and reduce its overall effectiveness.

Communication failures: Poor explanation of customization limitations and implications. When organizations do not communicate the reasons for specific customizations and their impact on functionality, they increase user frustration and reduce acceptance of the new system [21].

Support planning oversights: Inadequate planning for long-term customization support. Organizations often fail to implement comprehensive support plans for customized features, complicating troubleshooting and maintenance efforts [4].

5. RISK MITIGATION: A FRAMEWORK FOR SUCCESS

5.1 Governance and Decision-Making

Establish clear governance structures for customization decisions:

Customization review board: Create a cross-functional team to evaluate all customization requests. This team should include representatives from IT, business units, and external consultants to ensure a comprehensive assessment of each request [16].

Standard evaluation criteria: Establish standard decision-making guidelines for assessing customization proposals, including justification and ROI analysis [5].

Executive oversight: Ensure leadership visibility into significant customizations. Senior management should review and approve all customizations exceeding a predefined cost or

complexity threshold to ensure alignment with organizational strategy [5].

Customization inventory: Maintain a comprehensive register of all system modifications. This register should include details on each customization's rationale, functionality, and decision-makers to ensure transparency and facilitate future reviews [5].

5.2 Process Evaluation Methodology

Implement a rigorous approach to process analysis:

Current state documentation: Thoroughly map existing processes before considering customization. This documentation should include details on inputs, outputs, roles, and systems to ensure a comprehensive understanding of current workflows before making any changes. These typically include Order-to-Cash, Procure-to-Pay, Inventory Management, Human Resources, and any other business processes relevant to the organization. Order-to-Cash manages the customer journey from sales to receivables, ensuring integration within the ERP. Procure-to-Pay encompasses purchasing activities, from requisition to vendor payment, supporting both procurement and finance functions. Inventory Management focuses on stock replenishment, warehouse operations, and inventory reporting for accurate tracking. HR processes encompass onboarding, time-off management, and payroll to support organizational HR needs. Documenting these workflows establishes a baseline for current operations, helping identify inefficiencies and guide ERP improvements [24].

Alternative exploration: When considering ERP customization, explore alternatives before modifying code. Strategies include maximizing configuration with built-in settings, adapting business processes to fit the ERP, using third-party applications for specific functionalities, and leveraging workflow automation platforms like Workflow86 to automate tasks without complex code changes [23].

Fit-gap analysis: Use a structured methodology to identify genuine system limitations. This analysis should thoroughly assess the functionality of the standard system against the organization's requirements and identify gaps that cannot be addressed through configuration or process changes [3]. A study shows that because customization is costly, organizations should consider re-engineering their business processes while maintaining their specific business models and objectives, and align with the ERP system instead of heavily customizing the software. Effective knowledge transfer from experienced consultants enables companies to understand better how to modify their processes, thereby reducing unnecessary customization and enhancing the ERP's alignment with the organization's needs.[24]

5.3 Technical Approach Optimization

Minimize technical risk through disciplined development approaches:

Customization hierarchy: Organizations should utilize an ERP customization hierarchy, ranging from least to most invasive modifications. Configuration adjusts system parameters without altering code, while bolt-ons are third-party modules that enhance functionality. Extensions improve capabilities without changing core structures, whereas modifications require altering existing code, complicating future upgrades. Custom development creates new functionalities and poses significant maintenance challenges. This hierarchy aids organizations in weighing customization benefits against associated costs and risks, and customizations can also be categorized as "must-have," "nice-to-have," and "future consideration". [25]

Clean interfaces: Design customizations with clear boundaries to the core system. When implementing modifications, organizations should ensure that the custom code has well-defined interfaces with the standard functionality and does not alter the core code [26].

Development standards: Create and enforce coding and technical design standards for customizations. These standards should include documentation, testing, and version control guidelines to ensure high-quality custom code [27], and rigorous testing protocols for custom components should be implemented. All the technical aspects of customizations should be documented.

5.4 Change Management Integration

Address organizational factors that drive customization:

Stakeholder education: Organizations should educate stakeholders about the potential implications of excessive customization, including increased costs, extended timelines, and decreased system performance [21].

Process ownership: Establish clear accountability for business process decisions. When organizations assign specific individuals or teams the authority to make decisions about business processes, they reduce the risk of unnecessary customizations driven by individual preferences. This is particularly relevant for organizations with multiple stakeholders involved in the ERP selection and implementation [28].

Training excellence: Invest in comprehensive user preparation. When organizations provide thorough training on standard and customized functionalities, they increase user acceptance and reduce the need for customizations driven by resistance to change [4].

Phased approach: Organizations should consider adopting a phased implementation approach focusing on standard functionality first, with subsequent customization phases planned to address genuine gaps [29].

6. IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES FOR REDUCED CUSTOMIZATIONS

6.1 Alternative Approaches

Before pursuing customization, consider these alternatives:

Configuration maximization: Organizations should fully utilize standard system settings and parameters. Before customizing, they should consider all available configuration options, as these options can often fulfill specific requirements without the risks associated with code modifications.[5]

Bolt-on solutions: When organizations need capabilities not offered by the ERP system, they should first consider third-party solutions that can be integrated with it rather than altering the core code [4].

Reporting tools: Most ERPs include limited reporting capabilities. However, organizations can often leverage third-party reporting tools to extract data from the ERP system and present it in a more accessible format without modifying the core system [30].

User training: Organizations should prioritize enhancing users' understanding of the ERP system's features through

comprehensive training, instead of customizing the interface. [25]

Business process reengineering: When organizations need to change their business processes to fit the ERP system, they should consider reengineering these processes instead of customizing the software [31].

6.2 Customization Minimization Techniques

When customization is necessary, minimize its impact:

Personalization vs. customization: Personalization involves adjusting the user interface or settings to match individual preferences without changing the underlying code. Users can customize their experience by modifying dashboard layouts or notification settings. By opting for personalization, organizations can reduce the need for extensive customization [14].

Extension rather than modification: Choosing extensions over modifications allows organizations to build upon the standard functionality of an ERP system without altering its core code. This approach leverages third-party integrations to introduce new capabilities, ensuring easier upgrades and maintenance while preserving the system's integrity [32].

Vendor-approved methods: Many ERP vendors offer specific development tools or frameworks to ensure that custom code remains compatible with the core system. By using these approved methods, organizations can reduce the risks associated with excessive customizations. Examples include SAP's Business Technology Platform and Oracle's Application Express [33].

Composable ERP: Organizations can consider adopting a composable ERP approach. This strategy involves using best-of-breed solutions for specific functionalities instead of relying on a single ERP vendor for all capabilities. By implementing a composable ERP strategy, organizations can reduce the need for customizations, as they can select systems that meet their requirements without modifications.

7. CASE STUDIES AND LESSONS LEARNED

7.1 Cautionary Tales

7.1.1 Global Manufacturing Company

A multinational manufacturer implemented a heavily customized SAP R/3 in its first attempt. Key issues encountered:

Insufficient User Engagement: User requirements were collected without direct participation in the first attempt to implement SAP R/3. This led to a lack of user buy-in and numerous requests for customization from different plants.

Over Customization: The first attempt at implementation resulted in significant customizations at the initial plant, which drained the budget and resulted in the project's cancellation at other facilities.

Local and Global Optimization: Customizations driven by local needs resulted in sub-optimization and resistance at the plant level, which were not aligned with the broader corporate goals.

Lessons Learned-

Customization Management: The case study underscores the value of a strong process for managing customization requests. During their second attempt at implementation, the company improved the handling of these requests, which reduced unnecessary customizations and boosted their overall quality.

Balancing Local and Global Needs: The tension between local and global optimization was evident. Customizations driven by local needs led to sub-optimization and resistance. This highlights the need to balance local requirements with global business objectives.

7.1.2 Global Energy Major

Key challenges:

Custom development required $1.2M in initial investment

Each annual upgrade required 3-4 months of testing and remediation.

Staff supporting the customization departed, creating knowledge gaps

Eventually reverted to standard functionality after calculating the lifetime cost at over $5M

Lesson: Initial customization costs represent only a fraction of the total lifetime expense.

7.2 Success Stories

Multinational technology conglomerate

The organization successfully managed customizations by:

By assembling a cross-functional team that included representatives from each department. This team focused on standardizing and simplifying operations before starting the system configuration. By doing so, they minimized the need for extensive customizations, ensuring that the ERP system aligned with their strategic goals while maintaining simplicity and functionality.

Lessons Learned-

The company emphasized the importance of standardizing and simplifying operations before configuring the ERP system, which helped minimize customizations and align with strategic goals.

By creating a cross-functional team with representatives from each department, they effectively addressed diverse organizational needs without unnecessary adjustments.

Their strategic planning, including a "big bang" implementation approach, underscored the value of thorough preparation, ultimately leading to a successful rollout with minimal customizations. This highlights the importance of careful planning and cross-departmental collaboration in successful ERP implementation.

8. FUTURE TRENDS AND CONSIDERATIONS

8.1 Cloud ERP Implications

Cloud-based ERP systems are transforming customization approaches:

Limited customization options: Cloud systems typically restrict certain types of modifications. Cloud ERP systems often offer fewer customization options than traditional on-premises ERP solutions. This limitation arises because cloud ERP systems are typically designed to serve a broad range of industries and businesses, which can restrict the level of customization available to meet specific organizational needs. This can be a drawback for large companies that require extensive

customizations to align the ERP system closely with their unique business processes[35].

Frequent updates: Increased frequency of upgrades influences customization management. Unlike traditional on-premises vendors, cloud ERP providers release updates and new features more often. This is possible because they can simultaneously deploy updates to all customers, allowing everyone to take advantage of the latest enhancements and functionalities [34].

Extension frameworks: Vendors providing structured methods for safe customization. Cloud ERP vendors increasingly offer extension frameworks that allow organizations to implement modifications while ensuring compatibility with future updates [32].

API ecosystems: There has been an increased focus on integration rather than modification. Cloud ERP systems usually offer extensive APIs that enable connections to third-party applications. This strategy allows organizations to enhance functionality without changing the core system.

Partner solutions: Partner solutions in cloud computing often involve expanding marketplaces of pre-built extensions. These marketplaces, such as Salesforce's AppExchange, allow external developers and partners to offer and distribute web-based applications that interoperate with the core platform. This setup enables partners to create value-added solutions that extend the core functionality of enterprise software, making it easier for customers to find and implement solutions instead of developing in-house [36].

8.2 Emerging Approaches

New methodologies are reshaping customization strategies:

Low-code/no-code platforms: Current trends regarding low-code/no-code (LCNC) platforms in ERP systems highlight a notable movement towards enabling individuals without coding expertise, commonly referred to as "citizen developers," to develop extensions or new business applications. This shift is motivated by the need for organizations to swiftly respond to evolving demands without having to undergo customizations [37].

Microservices architectures: Microservices architecture can minimize the necessity for modifications in ERP systems by breaking the system down into smaller, separate services that interact with each other. This modular structure allows organizations to upgrade or substitute specific components without the need to overhaul the entire system, ultimately decreasing the amount of customization needed [38].

Machine learning adaptability: Machine learning-driven optimization of ERP systems can minimize customizations by enhancing personalization within ERP interfaces. This personalization tailors user experiences based on historical interactions and preferences, which reduces the need for extensive customizations [39].

Continuous integration/continuous deployment: The CI/CD approach to ERP customization integrates continuous integration and delivery practices to streamline the development and deployment of custom features. By enabling iterative building and testing in small batches, it helps identify and fix issues early. Consequently, ERP customizations can be delivered quickly and reliably to meet evolving customer needs and industry trends [40].

9. CONCLUSION

In summary, the complexities of ERP customization put organizations at a critical crossroads between meeting immediate operational needs and ensuring the system's long-term success. As discussed, while customization can significantly enhance functionality and better align with specific business processes, it also entails risks that are often underestimated. These risks can increase implementation costs, cause operational issues, and create strategic hurdles, potentially hurting the overall effectiveness of the ERP system.

The framework outlined in this paper acts as a comprehensive guide for organizations to manage these complexities, emphasizing the importance of strong governance, detailed process analysis, disciplined technical strategies, and proactive change management. By taking a strategic approach to ERP customization, organizations can find a balanced method that maximizes the value of their ERP investments while maintaining system flexibility and responsiveness.

Looking ahead, the future of ERP customization will involve a deeper exploration of emerging technologies and methods, such as cloud solutions, low-code platforms, and microservices architectures. These advancements promise to reshape customization strategies, enabling organizations to leverage innovative tools that enhance functionality while reducing risks.

Ultimately, businesses should view customization not only as a technical necessity but also as a vital strategic choice with long-term implications. By adhering to best practices in risk management and actively managing their customization efforts, organizations can enhance operational efficiency and remain resilient against potential challenges arising from extensive ERP modifications. As the landscape evolves, organizations that remain adaptable and forward-thinking will position themselves for ongoing success in a competitive environment.

10. REFERENCES

[1] Brehm, L., Heinzl, A., Markus, M. L., "Tailoring ERP systems: a spectrum of choices and their implications," Proceedings of the 34th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 2001.

[2] Ramsay, N., "Customization vs Configuration," Oracle-Blog, 2024.

[3] Katariya, J., "The Ultimate Guide to ERP Customization With Benefits & Best Practices," MOON TECHNOLABS - Article, 2025.

[4] Medium.com, , "ERP Customizations: What Do I Need to Know," Medium.com Blogs, 2023.

[5] Hustad, E., Stensholt, J., "Customizing ERP-systems: A framework to support the decision-making process," Procedia Computer Science, 2023.

[6] Lloyds, undefined. C., "ERP System Customizations: A Necessary Evil?," QAD Blogs, 2017.

[7] Parthasarathy, S., Sharma, S., "Efficiency analysis of ERP packages—A customization perspective," Computers in Industry, 2016.

[8] Mahmood, F., Khan, A. Z., Bokhari, R. H., "ERP issues and challenges: a research synthesis," Kybernetes, 2019.

[9] Tremblay, undefined. T., "ERP Customization: Pros, Cons, and How to Manage It," Kohezion Blog, 2024.

[10] Haughton, R., "Exploring knowledge retention strategies to prevent knowledge loss in project-based organizations (PBOs)," Walden University - Dissertation , 2021.

[11] ArcherPoint, , "Customizing ERP: Advantages and Disadvantages," ArcherPoint Blog, 2018.

[12] Yeri, P., "The myth of one-size-fits-all: why standard ERP systems often fail," github.io blog, 2024.

[13] Baumann, undefined. B., "Disadvantages of Customizing an ERP System," Panorama Consulting Group, 2022.

[14] Parthasarathy, S., Daneva, M., "An approach to estimation of degree of customization for ERP projects using prioritized requirements," Elsevier, 2016.

[15] Aires, M., Abrantes, R., "Requirements elicitation in ERP implementation process," Elsevier, 2022.

[16] , P., "Managing Conflicting Stakeholder Demands During an ERP Implementation," Panorama Consulting Group, 2025.

[17] , P., "Nestlé's vs Hershey's ERP Failure: A Tale of Two Kit Kat Distributors," Panorama Consulting Group, 2024.

[18] Nakayama, M., Hustad, E., Sutcliffe, N., Beckfield, M., "Organic transformation of ERP documentation practices: Moving from archival records to dialogue-based, agile throwaway documents," International Journal of Information Management, 2024.

[19] , P., "How to Determine ERP Customization and Configuration Needs," Panorama Consulting Group, 2019.

[20] Calance, , "Everything You Need to Know About ERP Integrations," Calance, 2024.

[21] Gupta, D., "ERP Change Management: Best Practices," Whatfix, 2024.

[22] George, C., "ERP Business Process Mapping: A Comprehensive Guide," Creately, 2024.

[23] Workflow86, , "ERP Customization: Tailoring Your System or Tying Your Hands?," Workflow86 Blog, 2022.

[24] Wang, E. T., Lin, C. C., Jiang, J. J., Klein, G., "Improving enterprise resource planning (ERP) fit to organizational process through knowledge transfer," International Journal of Information Management, 2007.

[25] Rothenberger, M. A., Srite, M., "An Investigation of Customization in ERP System Implementations," IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, 2009.

[26] Navigator, , "What is an ERP Clean Core Approach?," erpsoftwareblog, 2024.

[27] Sudhaman, P., Thangavel, C., "Efficiency analysis of ERP projects—software quality perspective," Elsevier, 2015.

[28] Schwarz, L., "6 Change Management Tips for ERP Implementation," NetSuite Article, 2024.

[29] NetSuite, , "Big Bang vs Phased ERP Implementation Strategies!," NetSuite Blog, 2018.

[30] ePROMISE, , "The Basics of ERP Reporting: What You Need to Know," ePROMISE, 2024.

[31] Panorama, , "Benefits of Business Process Reengineering in an ERP Implementation," Panorama Consulting Group, 2021.

[32] X2XECOMMERCE, , "Customization and Extensions in an ERP," ERP Software Blog, 2024.

[33] Colgan, D., "Targeting a cleaner ERP core with SAP BTP - Preparing for S/4HANA Transformation," Deloitte, 2024.

[34] Elmonem, M. A., Nasr, E., Geith, M., "Benefits and challenges of cloud ERP systems–A systematic literature review," Elsevier, 2016.

[35] Mhaskey S.V., "TRANSITIONING FROM TRADITIONAL TO CLOUD ERP: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW," International Journal of Core Engineering & Management, 2025.

[36] Nieuwenhuis, L. J., Ehrenhard, M. L., Prause, L., "The shift to Cloud Computing: The impact of disruptive technology on the enterprise software business ecosystem," Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2018.

[37] Picek, R., "Low-code/No-code Platforms and Modern ERP Systems," 2023 International Conference on Information Management (ICIM), 2023.

[38] Panorama, , "ERP Customization Alternatives and Flexible ERP Strategies," Panorama Consulting Group , 2024.

[39] Jawad, Z. N., Balázs, V., "Machine learning-driven optimization of enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems: a comprehensive review," Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 2024.

[40] Metsfresh, "Continuous ERP modernization with CI/CD," John Waldron, 2021.